A modified technique of interspinous ligamentoplasty for lumbar stenosis or degenerative spondylolisthesis
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Objectives: Interspinous ligamentoplasty (ILP) was first introduced by Senegas for the treatment of degenerative lumbar disease. The purpose of this study was to present a modified technique of ILP for lumbar stenosis or degenerative spondylolisthesis.

Patients and methods: Twenty patients (4 men, 16 women; mean age 61.3 years; range 31 to 83 years) underwent ILP after posterior decompression. Ten patients had stenosis with instability, eight patients had degenerative spondylolisthesis, and two patients had a juxtafacet cyst. The authors modified the original Senegas ILP procedure, so called the figure of ‘8’ technique, as the ‘80 to 88’ technique. In this modification, a second circular artificial ligament is added turning around the upper and lower spinous processes, initially resembling the figure ‘0’. It is then fastened in the middle so that its appearance turns to the figure ‘8’. Hence, the ligamentoplasty procedure is composed of two artificial ligaments both resembling the figure ‘8’.

Results: At the end of a mean follow-up period of 17.4 months (range 1 to 45 months), the mean Ostwestry Disability Index score improved from 66% (range 26% to 88%) preoperatively to 31.1% (range 4% to 56%). Two patients developed superficial wound infection and another two developed transient dysesthesia. None of the patients required reoperation.

Conclusion: Considering relatively short operation time, less invasiveness, and its nonfusion nature, ILP with ‘80 to 88’ technique seems to be an appropriate option for dynamic stabilization in treating degenerative pathologies. Yet, it should be justified with long-term comparative studies.
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It has always been a big challenge to choose the optimal surgical treatment in symptomatic degenerative pathology of the lumbar spine. Degenerative spondylolisthesis may cause neural compressive lesions as well as various spinal instabilities, which may further lead to neurological symptoms, pseudoradicular pain, and axial low-back pain. The focus of the treatment used to be adequate decompression with or without fusion till the introduction of dynamic stabilization. Decompressive procedures usually showed initial improvement, but success rates dropped to 70% on long-term follow-ups.\(^1\)\(^2\) The causes of recurrent symptoms were restenosis, new stenosis at other levels, and occurrence of herniated lumbar disc, which were considered a consequence of microinstability. Even in case of successful decompression, back pain very often remained.\(^3\)\(^4\) In addition, decompressive procedures were associated with iatrogenic instability.\(^5\)\(^6\) Currently, fusion surgery is performed to maintain or restore stability. But fusion, being an unphysiological procedure, may generate juxtafusional syndrome through elimination of motion at the functional spinal segment, and thus lead to a higher rate of re-interventions.\(^7\)\(^8\)\(^9\)\(^10\) Considering these problems, nonfusion systems have been developed as an alternative option for stabilization.

Senegas is credited with the introduction of interspinous ligamentoplasty (ILP).\(^11\) The authors of the current study present a modified technique of the original ILP, providing a better stabilization.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Twenty patients (4 men, 16 women; mean age 61.3 years; range 31 to 83 years) underwent ILP after posterior decompression. Of these, 10 patients had stenosis with instability, eight patients had degenerative spondylolisthesis, and two patients had a juxtafacet cyst.

Surgical technique

The patient is placed in the prone position, with hip joints in flexion initially. A midline incision through the skin and subcutaneous tissue is made at the affected level. Muscle stripping and retraction is limited from the lower half of the upper lamina to the upper half of the lower lamina and the facet plane laterally. Supraspinous and interspinous ligaments are removed without violation to the two spinous processes. The base of the lower spinous process is drilled out and extended laterally to unroof the lower lamina and hypertrophied inferior facet covering the traversing root till the upper half of pedicles are exposed bilaterally. At this stage, a contralateral approach with tilting of operation table and microscope is very helpful (Fig. 1a). Then, the lower half of the upper lamina and buckled yellow ligament are removed (Fig. 1b, c). At the end of decompression, there should be no contact between the dural sac and bone (usually the lower lamina) when both spinous processes are approximated with clamps. During the decompression, a polyester braid (artificial ligament), 40 cm long and 5 mm in diameter, is soaked in saline containing antibiotics. Initially, both spinous processes are wrapped with the artificial ligament, resembling the figure “8” at the base of the spinous process.

After changing the position of the spine from flexion to extension, the waist of the figure ‘8’ is sutured at least two times at a point just inferior to the upper spinous process and just superior to the lower spinous process while pulling the artificial ligament without violation of the spinous processes. The ligament is occluded and then approximated with clamps. During this stage, the patient is placed in prone or semiprone position. The operation table is tilted 15 degrees (Fig. 1a). The bone is cleaned off with a bone rongeur, leaving a layer of granulation tissue over the bone (Fig. 1b). The bone is visualized and the operation table is tilted 15 degrees (Fig. 1c).

FIG. 1. (a) The operation table tilted 15 degrees; (b) illustrative image before bone removal, (c) the lower half of the upper lamina and the upper half of the lower lamina are removed for decompression.
ligament tightly. This multiply sutured waist acts as an interspinous spacer without bone erosion, which may be seen in case of a metal spacer.

Then, with the remaining ligament, another circle without crossing the interspinous space (figure of ‘0’) is made just posterior to the initial figure of ‘8’ and sutured to the adjacent bilateral ligament (Fig. 2a). Addition of figure ‘0’ circle to ‘8’ represents the difference from Senegas’s original method. When the waist of the circular ligament is fastened, its appearance turns to the figure ‘8’. Hence, the two spinous processes are fixed tightly, but sufficient mobility is maintained between compression at the posterior circle and distraction permitted at the waist of the initial ‘8’. Thus, the ligament structure is changed from ‘80’ to ‘88’ (Fig. 2b).

RESULTS
At the end of a mean follow-up period of 17.4 months (range 1 to 45 months), the mean Ostwestry Disability Index score improved from 66.0% (range 26% to 88%) preoperatively to 31.1% (range 4% to 56%). Two patients developed superficial wound infection and another two developed transient dysesthesia. None of the patients required reoperation.

DISCUSSION
As the name implies, ILP requires intact spinous processes capable of enduring the stresses and strains of loading and mobilization. In the past, total laminectomy was performed to treat lesions upon detection of total block on myelogram, and subtotal laminectomy was performed for partial block. With the advances in optics and mechanical tools, such as high-speed drills, limited fine-targeted decompression sparing the remaining mid-line structures and spinous process is becoming a standard procedure. As a corollary, indication of ILP is more relevant than ever before.

Many randomized studies that compared different surgical procedures for spinal stenosis provided convincing evidence in favor of this procedure.\textsuperscript{[14-17]} In case of stenosis with instability and spondylolisthesis, fusion is beneficial, and this has been validated by a meta-analysis conducted by Mardjetko et al.\textsuperscript{[18]} However, the complication rate is higher than that of decompression alone in any kind of fusion.\textsuperscript{[1,18-21]} Among the drawbacks of fusion, the complication of transition syndrome and increased invasiveness of the technique cannot be expected to be overcome. To utilize the advantages of fusion without experiencing its inherent complications, mobile systems have been developed.

Many types of mobile stabilization systems are available these days. According to the application site, they are divided into intradiscal, transpedicular, and interspinous systems. Intradiscal systems which are divided into total disc replacement (TDR) and partial disc replacement (PDR) are used in case of normal facet joint morphology. In degenerative conditions, stand-alone use of TDR or PDR may be limited. Transpedicular systems allow total laminectomy for decompression, but require a wide dissection and retraction of muscles. During pedicle screw procedures, surgeons are worried about pro-
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Procedure-related complications especially in degenerative conditions, such as malposition of screws, neural injury, and pedicle fractures. Considering the complications of abdominal surgery with TDR or transpedicular screw insertion, ILP may be a better choice than the other systems.

Interspinous ligamentoplasty requires less dissection and retraction of muscles just like decompression alone, keeping the pedicle and intervertebral disc intact for future salvage fusion procedures. However, it also requires the existence of a reliable spinous process, in other words, adequate decompression while retaining essential bony structure for later stabilization. Unlike former circumstances, currently available high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography studies enable us to plan accurate decompression preoperatively. A precise execution of this plan is possible thanks to availability of sophisticated optics and mechanical instruments, such as highly mobile surgical microscopes, endoscopes, and high-speed drills. Through an incision of the same size, decompression and stabilization can be simultaneously accomplished.

It should be noted that the tension of the artificial ligament during fixation is of special importance, in that excessive tension will result in spinous process fracture while insufficient tension may not provide adequate stabilization. Patients with a degenerative spinal pathology are usually older, even medically compromised, and osteoporotic. It is hazardous to subject them to repeated surgeries as in decompression alone followed by fusion, or to perform fusion surgery in osteoporotic individuals.

Interspinous ligamentoplasty may also be indicated in cases of lumbar stenosis with synovial cyst, because synovial cysts are associated with disruption of the facet joint and some degree of instability (Fig. 3). It can also be used with prosthetic disc nucleus (PDN) replacement and/or interspinous locker for posterior augmentation (Fig. 4).

Fig. 3. (a, b) Preoperative images showing instability and a synovial cyst. The sagittal rotation angle (SRA) is measured as 17 degrees. (c, d) Appearance of the synovial cyst at L4-5 on an MR image. (e, f) Postoperative images showing improvement in instability, with SRA being 5°.
In ILP, the most serious complication is fracture of the spinous process (usually the upper one). To avoid this complication, the surgeon must reserve enough bone to support the spinous process while obtaining sufficient decompression. This can be accomplished with the use of a previously described modified unilateral approach after

Fig. 4. (a) Combined surgery with ligamentoplasty (LP) and prosthetic disc nucleus (PDN) replacement, (b) Combined surgery with LP, PDN, and interspinous locker.

Fig. 5. (a) A preoperative radiograph, (b) Immediate postoperative radiograph showing reduction and good coiling around the spinous process, (c) Fifteen months after surgery, a progressive slippage and some erosion to the upper spinous process were seen; however, the patient’s complaint of low back pain improved regardless of this phenomenon.
removal of the supraspinous and interspinous ligaments. Nonetheless, fractures may occur during passage of the thick braid portion through the interspinous ligament or upon tightening. Thus, the direction of pulling must be just tangential to the sagittal plane to minimize frictional forces exerted to the spinous processes. Then, the braid should be fastened gradually without hastening, using elastic property of the artificial ligament itself. However, even after a sufficiently good stabilization with strict adherence to the above-mentioned tips, loss of stabilization may sometimes occur owing to erosions to the spinous process (Fig. 5).

Passing the ligament too deeply may result in injury to the dural sac and subsequent cerebrospinal fluid leakage. Therefore, the ligament should be passed just posterior to the laminae. In addition, inadequate decompression and fastening of the ligament may cause new compression of the dural sac due to the remaining midline bony structures. Thus, one should consider temporary clamping to check for neural compression before application of the ligament.

Before changing the ligament combination from figure ‘80’ to ‘88’, fluid collection was found in some cases. However, after increasing the degree of tightness of the combination ‘88’, fluid collection was not seen in any case. The additional step also gives a tighter stabilization in both compression and distraction; this implies that ILP can be used in the treatment of unstable spondylolisthesis of grade I. Surgeons must be aware of other complications that usually occur during the posterior approach such as hematoma, infection, nerve injury etc.

Considering relatively short operation time, less invasiveness, and its nonfusion nature, ILP with ‘80 to 88’ technique seems to be the first option to adopt for dynamic stabilization in treating degenerative pathologies. Yet, ILP should be justified with long-term comparative studies with decompression alone and with fusion. In future, ILP may also prove to be a useful augmentation method with PDN or other disc replacement materials like pedicle screw fixation developed for intervertebral cages.
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