Sesamoid bones (SBs) are the skeletal variations of the hand and foot that were first described by Galen.[1] They are mostly located on the hands and feet region; they can be found under the tendon passing over the joint. However, they can also be found in other locations such as the largest sesamoid-patella, pisiform in the wrist, and fabella in the knee.

Two main hypotheses have been described for the SB development: functional and phylogenetic.[2-4] In 2018, Yammine[5] added a new hyperextension hypothesis to these two hypotheses and explained the frequency of sesamoids in human digits. There is still no consensus concerning the function of the sesamoids. They are part of a gliding mechanism. Sesamoids function by reducing the friction and modifying the pressure. They also change the direction of the muscle’s force and protect the tendon.[6]

Sesamoid bone is a frequent finding in routine radiographs of the hand. Unfortunately, pathological conditions of the SB are usually overlooked. Physicians focus on the larger osseous structures and even the most common disorders of the SB (fracture, dislocations, arthritic, inflammatory and degenerative disorders) may be misdiagnosed. Trauma and degenerative disorders have been listed as the most common pathologic conditions of the SB.[7]
The incidence of SB in both hands and feet seems to vary according to racial groups. Most people have one SB in the metacarpophalangeal (MCP) joint of the small finger (MCP 5), one in the MCP joint of the index finger (MCP 2), one in the interphalangeal (IP) joint of the thumb and two in the MCP joint of the thumb (MCP 1). This shows that most people have five SBs in one hand\cite{1,8} and both the distribution and the prevalence of the SB of the hands vary in different populations and ethnic groups.\cite{9-12}

In this study, we aimed to document a detailed investigation on the SBs of Turkish subjects from different parts of Turkey in a multi-center study, in both hands, according to gender, frequency and divisions of the bones’ coexistence and bilaterality by radiography.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

This retrospective and three-centered (from different parts of Turkey) study was performed at Faculty of Medicine Department of Radiology of Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Department of Radiology of Gülhane Military Medical Academy and Department of Orthopedics in Faculty of Medicine of Akdeniz University between June 2010 and April 2012. In this study, a total of 772 subjects (367 males, 405 females; mean age 42.7 years; range, 18 to 87 years) with anteroposterior (AP) and oblique bilateral hand X-rays were evaluated. X-rays of 970 hands of 485 subjects (237 males, 248 females; mean age 41.2 years; range, 18 to 87 years) were obtained from the first center; 202 hands of 101 subjects (70 males, 31 females; mean age 49.5 years; range, 18 to 76 years) were obtained from the second center; and 372 hands of 186 subjects (60 males, 126 females; mean age 43 years; range, 18 to 81 years) were obtained from the third center. Subjects aged under 18 years, those with congenital abnormalities of the hand, and those who were previously operated for severe hand injuries were excluded. All X-rays were evaluated by at least two independent observers. In controversial circumstances, at least three observers together gave the final decision by consensus. A written informed consent was obtained from each subject. The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Statistical analysis**

The results were analyzed using the SPSS version 13.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, NY, USA). Chi-square test was used to analyze categorical data and Bonferroni correction procedure was used in paired comparisons of more than two groups. P value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Two constant SBs were detected in the MCP 1 in all subjects (100%). The prevalence of the SB in the MCP joints of the 772 subjects was 100%, 42.8%, 1.6%, 0.1%, and 72.5%, respectively (Table I).

Minimum one SB was found in the MCP 2 of 331 subjects (42.8%) (Figure 1), in the third MCP (MCP 3) of 12 subjects (1.6%) (Figure 2), in the fourth MCP (MCP 4) of one subject (0.1%) (Figure 3), and in the MCP 5 of 560 subjects (72.5%) (Figures 1 and 4). Additionally, two SBs were detected in seven different hands (0.4%) of seven different subjects (0.9%) in the MCP 5 (Figures 1 and 3). One SB in the IP 1 joint was found in 169 subjects (21.8%) and 270 hands (18.6%) (Figure 4, Table I).

When we consider the gender distribution of the sesamoids; 187 subjects of MCP 2, seven subjects of MCP 3, 309 subjects of MCP 5, and 104 subjects of IP 1 were all females (total n=405) (Table I). The numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sesamoid bones</th>
<th>Prevalence (Subject)</th>
<th>Prevalence (Hand)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Side (Subject)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP 1</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1,444</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP 2</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP 3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP 5</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP 1</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F: Female; M: Male; MCP: Metacarpophalangeal; IP: Interphalangeal.
of male participants with sesamoids (total n=367) were 144, five, one, 251, and 65, respectively, in MCP 2, MCP 3, MCP 4, MCP 5, and IP 1 (Table I).

Sesamoid bones were categorized according to side (bilaterally, unilateral right and unilateral left) and detailed in Table I. Differences were statistically analyzed according to the side, gender, and presence or absence of SB. Sesamoid bone of the MCP 2, MCP 5, and IP 1 were recorded statistically significantly more frequently in females (p=0.018, p=0.014, and p=0.003, respectively). Sesamoid bones of the same joints (MCP 2, MCP 5, and IP 1) were detected statistically significantly more frequently bilaterally than the unilateral right side, and more frequently unilaterally on the right side than the unilateral left side (p<0.05 for all) (Table II).

**DISCUSSION**

The number of SBs in the adult human skeleton can vary greatly for each individual. A majority of people have five SBs in one hand; two in the MCP 1, one in the IP 1, one in the MCP 2, and one in the MCP 5.[1,8] Both the reason for pathogenesis and the precise number of these ossicles are not well known. Although SBs of the MCP 1 are considered as a normal skeleton part of adult human, sesamoids of other fingers are seen rarely.[3,9,10]
Kose et al.[13] published the first sesamoid prevalence and a large series about the SB of Turkish hands. They reported 100% for MCP 1 sesamoids, 21.3% for IP 1, 36.6% for MCP 2, 53.2% for MCP 5, 1.3% for MCP 3, and 0.9% for MCP 4.[13] Their results for MCP 1, IP 1, MCP 3, and MCP 4 were similar to our findings. However, results for the other areas were different. In that study, authors did not indicate the center where the research was conducted and the part of Turkey from where they obtained the radiographs. As Turkey is a large country with a heterogeneous structure, their results may be considered questionable. In the present study, 1,444 radiographs of 772 Turkish subjects’ bilateral hands from different parts of Turkey were investigated.[14]

Sesamoid bones of the thumb are also useful in the diagnosis of systemic diseases and they are an indicator of pubertal growth. Enlargement of the thumb sesamoid is a feature of acromegaly.[8,15,16] Descriptive anatomy of the thumb sesamoids has been examined in detail. They can appear as a bony formation in one hand and may be larger in the other hand and can appear like a fibrocartilaginous nucleus on the other side.[3] The volar plate of the MCP involves both of the SBs. Bipartism of the thumb sesamoids was reported in rare cases; Pfitzner (cited by Fawcett, 1896)[17] reported different bipartite SBs of MCP and IP joints. There are reports of three cases of isolated absence of the radial bone of MCP joint, and the absence of one or both sesamoids in the MCP joint of the thumb is exceptional.[3] The 40.4% SB frequency of MCP 2 in Arab subjects is similar to the 35-64% reported in Caucasians.[3,12] Similarly, the 45.3% incidence of SB in the MCP 5 in the Arab population is comparable to that reported in Caucasians (44.6-76.5%).[3] Thus, the prevalence of SB in the index and little fingers in the Arab population seems to be similar to that in Caucasians, albeit close to the lower side of the frequency range. Although SBs have been described as being rare, or occurring infrequently[9,10] in the MCP joints of the middle and ring fingers, Bizarro[9] has reported a frequency of 7.1% at each of these sites in Caucasians, while the gender of the subjects were not mentioned. Dharap et al.[10] reported that the incidence of sesamoids at these two digits in the Arab population of Bahraini was found much lower: 2.3% in the third and 1.5% in the fourth fingers, respectively. In the present study, the prevalence of SB in the MCP joints of the hands were 42.8%, 1.6%, 0.1%, and 72.5% at MCP 2, MCP of the medius (MCP 3), MCP 4, and MCP 5, respectively. Moreover, we detected some statistically significant differences between males and females. Sesamoid bones of the MCP 2, MCP 5, and IP 1 were recorded statistically significantly more frequently in males (p=0.018, p=0.014, and p=0.003, respectively).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Bilateral-unilateral right</th>
<th>Bilateral-unilateral left</th>
<th>Unilateral right-unilateral left</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCP 1</td>
<td>0.171</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP 2</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP 3</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP 4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP 5</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP 1</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MCP: Metacarpophalangeal; IP: Interphalangeal; Chi-square test was used to analyze categorical data while Bonferroni correction procedure was used in paired comparisons of more than two groups.
The sesamoid of the IP joint of the thumb is usually single and one single case of a double SB was reported by Bizarro. The incidence of SB in the IP 1 has been reported to be 100% in Africans and between 73% and 100% in Caucasians. According to all reports, this particular incidence differs greatly from that observed in the available literature, which may give rise to thought about racial variation.

Exceptionally, Seki et al. reported the following frequencies in the proximal IP joints of the fifth, fourth, and third fingers: 1% (two of 179), 0.5% (one of 183), and 0.4% (one of 244), respectively. Also, a sesamoid in the distal IP joint of a second finger was reported by Pfitzner (cited by Fawcett, 1896). In the present study, the prevalence of SB in the IP 1 joint was 21.8%. In our subjects, sesamoids were not detected in any other IP joints.

This study has some limitations. Turkey is a big and multicultural country. All of the regions have different ethnic groups. We wanted to minimize the problems due to differences of the ethnics by selecting three different region of Turkey. New studies including all of seven regions of Turkey would be more interesting.

In conclusion, the present study represents a detailed report with particular emphasis on the prevalence of SB of the hand in Turkish subjects from different parts of Turkey. The distribution of SBs varies according to hand regions, gender, and side. Thus, the locations of SBs and the rate of bilaterality may assist clinicians in both clinical and radiological diagnoses.
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