The approaches used in the surgical treatment of acetabulum fractures over the past 50 years since the work of Judet et al.[1] have not changed significantly. We use the Kocher-Langenbeck approach to reach the posterior wall, the posterior pillar, or in certain cases of transverse fractures, whereas anterior or dual approaches are used in all other fracture patterns.[1] The gold standard of anterior approaches is the Judet-Letournel ilioinguinal approach. With this approach, one can visualize the anterior pillar and the pelvic brim. Following reduction of the fracture, a cranially positioned plate fixation is applied. The modified Stoppa approach, reported by Hirvensalo[2] in 1993, substantially reduced the invasiveness of the operation. In addition, with this “intrapelvic” acetabulum approach, the quadrilateral
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**ABSTRACT**

**Objectives:** This study aims to investigate whether positioning of the plate fixation plays a role in the stability of the osteosynthesis.

**Materials and methods:** We used finite element modelling to model an anterior pillar fracture and a T-type fracture of the acetabulum. Fracture fixation was carried out in three different variations: cranially positioned plate, medially positioned plate, and a combination of the two methods. In all cases, 3-3 screws were inserted on both ends of the plate to fix the fracture.

**Results:** In both fractures, nearly the same amount of displacement was detected in the fracture gap using a cranially positioned or medially positioned plate, and the amount of displacement was not less when using both plates simultaneously.

**Conclusion:** The position of the plate fixation does not affect the stability of the osteosynthesis and in cases of simple fracture patterns, fixation using two plates does not provide more stability than one plate alone.
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**ÖZ**

**Amaç:** Bu çalışmada plak tespitinin pozisyonlandırılmasını osteosentezin stabilitesinde bir rol oynayıp oynamadığı araştırıldı.

**Gereç ve yöntemler:** Asetabulumun anterior kolon kırığı ve T-tipi kırığının örneklenmesi için sonlu elemanlar modeli kullanıldı. Kırık tespiti üç farklı varyasyonda gerçekleştirilendi: kranial pozisyonlu plak, medial pozisyonlu plak ve iki yöntemlerin bir kombinasyonu. İki tespit etmek için tüm oğularda plakın iki ucuna 3-3 vidalar yerleştirildi.

**Bulgular:** Her iki kırıkta kranial pozisyonlu veya medial pozisyonlu plak kullanıldığında kırık araştırıldığından aynı miktarda kayma saptandı ve iki plak eşzamanlı kullanıldığında kayma miktarı daha az azdı.

**Sonuç:** Plak tespitinin pozisyonu osteosentezin stabilitesini etkilememekle ve basit kırık paternlerinde iki plak kullanılan tespit tek bir plaktan daha fazla stabilite sağlamaktadır.

**Anahtar sözcükler:** Sonlu elemanlar analizi; kırık tespiti; pelvis.
Does the positioning of the plate fixation play a role in the stability of the osteosynthesis?

A surface can be actually visualized, while it could only be palpated with the Judet-Letournel approach. Another advantage of this operation is that a medially positioned plate can be applied to the pelvic brim and quadrilateral surface. However, a disadvantage of this approach is the difficulty involved in positioning a plate onto the cranial side. In 2009, Keel et al. introduced into clinical practice the pararectus approach for acetabulum surgeries and reported his results in 2012. It combines the advantages of both the Judet-Letournel and modified Stoppa approaches: less invasively, the quadrilateral surface is exposed, and a medially as well as a cranially positioned plate can be applied. Since this approach became part of our routine practice, we felt the requirement to examine which position of the plate fixation provides greater stability, is a plate synthesis necessary for fixation of the acetabulum fracture, and if so, when do we choose to use a cranially positioned plate, a medially positioned plate, or both plates? Therefore, in this study, we aimed to investigate whether positioning of the plate fixation plays a role in the stability of the osteosynthesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In our previous studies conducted in Trauma Center (Péterfy Hospital, Budapest) and the University of Technology and Economics (Budapest) in 2014, we created a more realistic anatomic model by three dimension (3D) scanning a plastic pelvis model. We modelled an anterior pillar fracture and a T-type fracture on the acetabulum, in which case the pattern of the fracture line ran vertically through the acetabulum and reached the linea terminalis and ran through the obturator foramen as well. Thus it is possible to perform fixation of a T-type fracture with the use of a medially positioned plate alone (Figure 1).

Fracture fixation was carried out in three different variations: cranially positioned plate, medially positioned plate, and a combination of the two. In all cases, 3-3 screws were inserted on both ends of the plate to fix the fracture.

We used a half-pelvis geometric model because of its simplicity and faster mesh generation. The values of tension and displacement in the half-pelvis model were almost the same as in the whole pelvis model. The plate-bone contact and acetabulum fixation was bonded, the fracture gap was 0.1 mm, and contact was frictionless.

We further developed the plastic material model in the following manner: first, we established a so-called hybrid model consisting of a cortical layer built of shell elements and a cancellous component made of body elements. This is a more realistic material model compared to the previous one, but it was more difficult to model the transition between the cortical and cancellous layers. In addition, the program was incapable of starting the simulation; therefore, this model was not used. For these reasons, we developed a so-called hollow model, in which we removed the cancellous bone elements (Figure 2). Thus we neglected the effects of the cancellous bone layer, as other authors did previously. The thickness of the cortical layer was based on measurements of human pelvic computed tomography (CT) scans, which were derived from 64 slices of the ileum and 46 slices of the sacrum.

As in the previous examinations, the mechanical properties were chosen according to data of Abé et al. The elastic modulus of the cortical layer was 17,000 MPa, and Poisson's ratio was 0.3. The validation of the model was performed on cadaver experiments, as mentioned in our previous publications, concerning the operative fixation of Denis type I fractures.
sacrum fracture and symphysiolysis. Load and boundary parameters of each case are as follows.

**Case 1**—Anterior pillar fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity: load on the promontorium, in the Z-axis, 500 N, node-to node contact at the fracture site, bonded contact in the hip joint; cranially positioned plate fixation.

**Case 2**—Anterior pillar fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity: load on the promontorium, in the Z-axis, 500 N, node-to node contact at the fracture site, bonded contact in the hip joint; medially positioned plate fixation.

**Case 3**—Anterior pillar fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity: load on the promontorium, in the Z-axis, 500 N, node-to node contact at the fracture site, bonded contact in the hip joint; cranially and medially positioned plate fixation.

**Case 4**—T-type fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity: load on the promontorium, in the Z-axis, 500 N, node-to node contact at the fracture site, bonded contact in the hip joint; cranially positioned plate fixation.

**Case 5**—T-type fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity: load on the promontorium, in the Z-axis, 500 N, node-to node contact at the fracture site, bonded contact in the hip joint; medially positioned plate fixation.

**Case 6**—T-type fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity: load on the promontorium, in the Z-axis, 500 N, node-to node contact at the fracture site, bonded contact in the hip joint; cranially and medially positioned plate fixation.

SolidWorks 2012 3D program was used for the finite element analysis. The finite element mesh was comprised of about 123,000 secondary Tetra elements.

**RESULTS**

**Case 1**—Anterior pillar fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity, cranially positioned plate fixation: Maximum tension in the bones was 70 MPa, in the metals was 15 MPa; displacement in the fracture gap was 0.16 mm (Figure 3a).

**Case 2**—Anterior pillar fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity, medially positioned plate fixation: Maximum tension in the bones was 40 MPa,
in the metals was 14 MPa; displacement in the fracture gap was 0.15 mm (Figure 3b).

Case 3– Anterior pillar fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity, cranially and medially positioned plate fixation: Maximum tension in the bones was 73 MPa, in the metals was 15 MPa; displacement in the fracture gap was 0.16 mm (Figure 3c).

Case 4– T-type fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity, cranially positioned plate fixation: Maximum tension in the bones was 34 MPa, in the metals was 32 MPa; displacement in the fracture gap was 0.18 mm (Figure 3c).

Case 5– T-type fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity, medially positioned plate fixation: Maximum tension in the bones was 83 MPa, in the metals was 45 MPa; displacement in the fracture gap was 0.16 mm (Figure 4b).

Case 6– T-type fracture, weight bearing on one lower extremity, cranially and medially positioned plate fixation: Maximum tension in the bones was 37 MPa, in the metals was 29 MPa; displacement in the fracture gap was 0.18 mm (Figure 4c).

DISCUSSION

The osteosynthesis of fractures of the acetabulum is a demanding challenge in the care of the injured patients. Indications for surgery include an unstable joint, articular surface incongruence greater than 1 mm, fracture gap displacement greater than 2 mm, or if the “roof arc angle” is less than 45 degrees on at least one of the anteroposterior-ala-obturator views on the radiographs. The modified Stoppa approach mends the disadvantages of the Judet-Letournel approach. The operation is significantly less invasive and the quadrilateral surface is better accessed. The medial positioning of the plate fixation is easier, while the positioning of the screws for a plate applied on the cranial side is more difficult. The prevailing pararectus approach, which emerged in the past five years, combines the advantages of both the Judet-Letournel and Stoppa approaches. It is less invasive, the quadrilateral surface is exposed, and the external iliac artery and vein are handled safely, since they are mobilized and secured. As a consequence, a plate can be positioned cranially by access through a window lateral to the vessels, or a plate can be positioned medially through a window medial of the vessels. The questions arise whether it is necessary to apply two plate fixations, or we only use one plate, and whether that plate should be positioned medially or cranially. These were the questions we addressed using a finite element acetabulum model. After scanning the plastic model, we used CT images to model the different thicknesses of the cortical layers of the bone, but we neglected the role of the cancellous layer, as other authors also did. We used a half-pelvis, more precisely, an ilium bone model. We modelled an anterior pillar fracture and a T-type fracture. In both cases, the fracture patterns were chosen in a way that allowed for the application of a cranially as well as a medially positioned plate fixation. In each fracture type and in each plate fixation, 3-3 screws were modelled (3 screws on each side of the fracture). A “bonded” connection between the plate and screws corresponds to the plate-screw contact in locked plating techniques used nowadays. We did not take into account friction in the fracture gap; we modelled smooth fracture surfaces and the measurements were performed with the injured joint being supported from underneath. The measurements were performed under these provocative, unrealistic circumstances - in which the patient is bearing weight on the ipsilateral extremity of the injured side. In this manner, we tried to gain results with extreme amount of loading. The degree of displacement and tension measured on the model demonstrate that there is no significant difference in the amount of displacement of the fracture gap whether the plate fixation was positioned cranially or medially to stabilize the fracture. In fact, the displacement values were not lower when both cranial and medial plates were used simultaneously. We do not expect screw fractures or implant failures based on the tension values detected in the bones and implants. This conclusion is also true for anterior pillar fractures and T-type fractures as well. Any surgical approach and position of the plate fixation according to the preference of the operating surgeon are expected provide enough stability, as long as the reduction was properly performed. However, the use of dual plating for these more simple fracture patterns is probably not necessary (Figure 5).
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